

Measure Theory with Ergodic Horizons

Lecture

Terminology: let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure space and let P be a property of points in X .

We say that

- a.e. point $x \in X$ satisfies $P \iff \{x \in X : x \text{ satisfies } P\}$ is conull
 P holds a.s. (almost surely)
 P holds a.e. (almost everywhere)
- P holds for μ -positive set of points in $X \iff \exists$ measurable set of points of positive measure for which P holds,

Prop (Cfbl pigeonhole). let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space and let $\mathcal{C}$ be an almost disjoint collection of sets of positive measure. (Almost disjoint means that any two distinct $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ have null intersection.) Then $\mathcal{C}$ is cfbl.

Proof. First suppose μ is finite.

The Beatles "A day in my life": And though the holes were rather small,
The had to count them all.

let $\mathcal{C}_n := \{A \in \mathcal{C} : \mu(A) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$. Then each $\mathcal{C}_n$ is finite, in fact $|\mathcal{C}_n| \leq n \mu(X)$.
But $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_n$, hence $\mathcal{C}$ is cfbl.

Now assume μ is σ -finite so $X = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, where $X_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(X_n) < \infty$.
Then $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n := \{A \in \mathcal{C} : \mu(A \cap X_n) > 0\}$ is cfbl by what we've proved already,
and $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ since if $A \cap X_n$ is null for all n then A is null.
Thus $\mathcal{C}$ is cfbl. □

Corollary (Measure exhaustion). let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space
and let $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ be an increasing sequence of measurable sets.

Then this sequence stabilizes at a cfb ordinal, i.e. $\exists$ cfb ordinal $\beta < \omega_1$ s.t. $\forall \alpha > \beta \quad A_\alpha =_\mu A_\beta$ (i.e. $A_\alpha \setminus A_\beta$ is null).

Proof. Disjointify and apply the cfb pigeonhole: $\tilde{A}_\alpha := A_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} A_\gamma$, then $\{\tilde{A}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a disjoint collection, so all but cfbly many $\tilde{A}_\alpha$ are null by the previous proposition. Let β be the sup of α with $\mu(\tilde{A}_\alpha) > 0$, so β is still a cfb ordinal. $\square$

Remark. The last corollary allows using greedy algorithms to exhaust the space.

Def. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure space. A set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ is called an atom if $\mu(A) > 0$ but $\nexists$ measurable subset $B \subseteq A$ with $0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A)$. A measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is atomless if it has no atoms.

Sierpinski's Theorem. In an atomless measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, every value $r \in [0, \mu(X)]$ is achieved by μ , i.e. for each $r \in [0, \mu(X)]$, there is $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B) = r$.

Proof. We first prove:

Claim 1. Every non-null $X' \in \mathcal{B}$ contains arbitrarily small sets of positive measure.

Proof. Because X isn't an atom, there is $X_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $0 < \mu(X_0) < \mu(X) < \infty$.

Suppose X_n is defined, let $Y \subseteq X_n$ with $0 < \mu(Y) < \mu(X_n)$. Then

either $\mu(Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(X_n)$ or $\mu(X_n \setminus Y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(X_n)$, and let X_{n+1} be one

of Y or $X_n \setminus Y$ so that $\mu(X_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(X_n)$. Thus we get $(X_n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$

with $0 < \mu(X_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \mu(X_0)$. $\square$ (Claim 1)

Let $r \in (0, \mu(X))$ and let $\mathcal{B}_r := \{B \in \mathcal{B} : 0 < \mu(B) \leq r\}$. Let

$r' := \sup \{\mu(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}_r\}$.

If this supremum r' is achieved by some $B \in \mathcal{B}_r$, i.e. $\mu(B) = r'$, then

$r' = r$ because otherwise we could take a set $A \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ with $0 < \mu(A) < r - r'$ and $A \cup B$ would have measure $r' < \mu(A \cup B) < r$, contradicting the def of r' . Thus, it remains to prove the following:

Claim 2. There is $B \in \mathcal{B}_r$ with $\mu(B) = r'$.

Proof 1. Suppose towards a contradiction there is no such set.

We do measure exhaustion: by transfinite induction define a sequence $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of pairwise disjoint sets so that $\bigcup B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_r$ and $\mu(B_\alpha) > 0$. This yields a contradiction as in the proof $\aleph_1$ of cfb1 pigeonhole for a finite measure, while here we use that $\mu(\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} B_\alpha) \leq r \ \forall \beta < \omega_1$. We leave the details as an optional exercise. □ (Proof 1)

Proof 2 ($\frac{1}{2}$ -greedy measure exhaustion). We inductively define a sequence $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint sets of positive measure with $\mu(\bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i) \leq r'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows: take $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}_r$ hence $0 < \mu(B_0) \leq r'$. Suppose $(B_i)_{i \leq n}$ is defined. We take $B_{n+1} \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i$ so that $0 < \mu(B_{n+1}) \leq r' - \mu(\bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i)$ but B_{n+1} is $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ of the available measure, i.e.

$$\mu(B_{n+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup \{ \mu(B) : B \in \mathcal{B} : 0 < \mu(B) < r' - \mu(\bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i) \}. \quad (*)$$

We check that $B_\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ then $\mu(B_\infty) = r'$. Indeed, firstly, $\mu(B_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_{i \leq n} B_i) \leq r'$ by monotone convergence, so $\mu(B_\infty) \leq r'$.

If $\mu(B_\infty) < r'$, then $\exists B' \subseteq X \setminus B_\infty$ with $0 < \mu(B') < r' - \mu(B_\infty)$. But note that $\sum \mu(B_n) = \mu(B_\infty) \leq r'$ hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) = 0$, so there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mu(B_n) < \frac{1}{2} \mu(B')$, contradicting our $\frac{1}{2}$ -greedy choice of the set B_n . □ (Claim 2)
□ (Theorem)

Regularity and tightness of measures.

Let X be a metric space and let μ be a Borel measure on X , i.e. $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Def. μ is said to be

(i) regular if for every measurable set B ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(B) &= \inf \{ \mu(U) : U \supseteq B \text{ open} \} \quad (\text{outer regular}) \\ &= \sup \{ \mu(C) : C \subseteq B \text{ closed} \} \quad (\text{inner regular})\end{aligned}$$

(ii) strongly regular if for every measurable set B ,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \inf \{ \mu(U \setminus B) : U \supseteq B \text{ open} \} \\ &= \inf \{ \mu(B \setminus C) : C \subseteq B \text{ closed} \}.\end{aligned}$$

Observation. For finite measure spaces, regularity and strong regularity are equivalent.

By definition, we know that each measurable set A is contained and contains Borel sets B_1 and B_0 with $B_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B_1$. Strong regularity gives something stronger:

Observation. If μ is strongly regular, then for each measurable set A , there are a G_δ set G and F_σ -set F with $F \subseteq A \subseteq G$ so that $F = \mu A = \mu G$.

Proof. Let $U_n \supseteq A$ be open with $\mu(U_n \setminus A) < \frac{1}{n}$, so $G := \bigcap U_n$ is G_δ and $\mu(\bigcap U_n \setminus A) \leq \mu(U_n \setminus A) < \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly with closed sets. □